

United States Patent and Trademark Office

mN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | · · | | • | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/718,576 | 11/24/2003 | Hyuncheol Park | Q76060 | 1229 |
| 23373 7590 06/21/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | CHANNAVAJJALA, SRIRAMA T | |
| SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2166 | • |
| · | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/21/2007 | PAPER |
| | | | 00/21/2007 | 1 / 11 1/1 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/718,576 | PARK, HYUNCHEOL | | | | |
| mierview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Srirama Channavajjala | 2166 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) Srirama Channavajjala. | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) Diallo T. Crenshaw, Reg No. 52,778. | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>15 June 2007</u> . | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | 2)⊡ applicant's representative | e] | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>claim 1</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. | g)⊠ was not reached. hj⊟ N | I/A. | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed claim 1, discussed applicant's "proposed interview Agenda" filed on June 13, 2007 particularly, 35 USC 112-2 nd paragraph rejection, also discussed "predetermined axes" related to fig 6, general field of invention, background of invention [specification], and fig 2. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview | | | | | | |
| requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an | the | · | | | | |
| Attachment to a signed Office action. | Examiner's sign | ature, if required | | | | |